

Notes for AFR show 06-18-2016



Tom Wallace

Fortress of Faith

Resisting Islam

Rescuing Muslims

Reviving America

www.FortressofFaith.org

Tel: 800-616-0082

Shahram Hadian

The TIL Project Mission

Speaking the "Truth In Love"

www.tilproject.com

Tel: 425.772.8222

Jihad Continues In America

We are about a week out from the viscous event of last Sunday, but there is still a lot to discuss. We need answers to a lot of questions. How did this happen? How did the FBI get it wrong? The FBI had this guy in their sights and didn't follow through. This administration and the liberal politicians and media are blaming guns rather than Islam.

We will be answering these questions and we will also cover what Islam teaches about homosexuality. A lot of people tell us what Islam believes, but they don't give chapter and verse from the Islamic scriptures.

It is important that we understand that these terrorists are doing what they do for the purpose of serving their god, Allah. This is very clear, yet our President continues to try to deflect this and make it about something else. In his first press conference it did not call it Islamic, nor did he call it terrorism.

As soon as we heard of this attack we knew that Obama would use it to attack our rights to keep and bear arms. He did not let us down.

In the middle of the week Obama was the most angry we have seen him in a while. He defended his not using the expression “radical Islamic terrorism” and asked what it would matter if he did use the phrase. This reminds me of when Hillary Clinton, talking about Benghazi, said, “What difference does it make anyway?”

Well it does matter what you call things. The first reason it matters is that it shows Obama's true colors. It proves what we have been saying all along. As a Muslim, he cannot denounce Islam, and specifically an act of jihad. ISIS had called for attacks during Ramadan and we know that throughout history there have been attacks against non-Muslims during the month of Ramadan.

The second reason it matters is that, on a practical level, motive matters. Law enforcement is trying to do their job and they are not allowed by this administration to use Islamic ideology to show motivation. This ties their hands. When they interview someone like this shooter, who said to the FBI in their first interview with him in 2013, “I want to carry out an act of jihad,” they can't use it against him. He said he welcomed them to come to his apartment so he could get in a shoot-out with them so he could carry out jihad. This administration does not allow them to consider this as motivation because the words “Islam” and “jihad” has been stricken from all of the training materials.

Motivation matters. You can't prove probable cause if you can't prove a motive, and in this case Islam was the motive.

Hillary Clinton is beginning to change her tone on this. For years, while she was Secretary of State, and until this past week as a presidential candidate, she has taken the position that we are not going to call it “radical Islamic terrorism” and constantly saying that this is not really Islam. Because of political pressure, especially from Donald Trump, she is now willing to use the phrase “radical Islam.” Why do you think she has made this change? It is not because she has changed her position, it is completely for political expediency.

She has been under a lot of pressure because Trump is doubling down on the need to temporarily stop the influx of refugees and immigrants from areas where jihad and Islamic terrorism are prevalent. He wants to stop the resettlement program until we can figure out how to successfully screen those who we allow into our country. We must find a way to stop those who want to come here and kill us.

This wasn't an attack on a church, a shopping center, or Disney Land, it was an attack on a homosexual nightclub. The LGBT community is one of the favorite children of the progressives and their baby got attacked. The attack was not from right-wing extremists or by Christians, but by an Islamist. This does not fit the narrative of the left-wing progressives and liberals.

On the other hand, Obama came out with his angry tirade about why he is not going to use “radical Islam” when he speaks of these terrorist attacks. He is showing his true colors. This administration has

tying the hands of our law enforcement people who want to protect us, and I believe this makes them complicit in what is happening.

The number one job of government is to protect the citizens. It is not to give them rights, and benefits. The number one job of the President is the Commander in Chief, and this President has failed at this job. One of Obama's first actions when he became president was to purge the counter terrorism training manuals from all references to Islam.

We have had John Guandolo on our broadcasts. He wrote the first counter terrorism course for the FBI. His specialty was the Muslim Brotherhood. When Obama came into office he began to push John and other people like him out because the purging of the manuals would not allow profiling. It would not allow looking at Islam as a possible cause of the terrorism.

Simple logic tells us that, if everyone who is carrying out these terrorist acts is calling out the name of their god as they do them, we should look at religion as part of their motivation. Obama says we can't do this.

Obama has also put people of the Muslim Brotherhood in key places in our government. These people are telling us how we are supposed to handle terrorist activities that seem to be carried out by Muslims. This policy is not only failing to protect us, it is costing lives.

Before Obama came to office, the 9/11 Committee report referenced Islam more than 300 times in explaining 9/11. Since Obama came to office there are no references to Islam in any training manuals. This is why the immediate reaction of the government to the Ft. Hood shooting was that it was not terrorism, it was work-place violence. It didn't matter that the shooter was shouting Islamic phrases.

Law enforcement cannot do its job. If they have a suspect, they cannot consider his Islamic ties. This was the case in Philadelphia where a police officer was shot at 13 times. It was case in the shooting on military installations in Chattanooga. The fact that there were postings on line in some of the attacks stating the intent of carrying them out, law enforcement could not investigate.

If someone tips off law enforcement, like in the case in Orlando this past week, they can't pursue it because they can't use the fact that he mentions jihad or Islam as a motive. This kind of insanity hampers the work of those who are supposed to protect us from these terrorists.

Rashad Husein was Obama's head of counter terrorism and the Muslim outreach director. He has ties to the Muslim Brotherhood. There are those in Homeland Security who were selling classical information to the media on so-called islamophobes. We can document dozens of Muslim Brotherhood associated people working in advisory position to Homeland Security.

Let's not forget that CAIR has called for revolution in America and aligned itself with the Black Lives Matter movement. Not only is the fox in the hen house, it has been given cover by Obama and his administration.

After the shooting in Orlando, the Governor of Florida immediately had a press conference and called it Islamic Terrorism. The administration brought out an imam from the Islamic Center in Orlando. The first thing he said was let's not sensationalize or rush to judgment on the motivation of this attack. CAIR came out with a similar statement and said we don't know what the reason for the attack was.

How stupid to they think we are? The shooter called 911 during the attack and said he was pledging his allegiance to ISIS and to Allah. How can someone say we don't know what the motivation was?

He also praised Anwar al-Awlaki. This is important because his teachings seem to be behind many of the terrorist attacks by Americans, both in the US, and overseas.

Would you consider supporting this ministry on a monthly basis?

You can do so by going to our [donation page](#).

What Does Islam Teach Concerning Homosexuality?

After attacks like this there are always apologists who say Islam doesn't teach what ever the motivation seems to be. After the attack on Pamela Geller there were those who said nowhere in the Qur'an do we find where Islam teaches that people who defame Muhammad should die. This shows that they don't understand the Islamic law on slander.

Homosexuality is not only addressed in the Qur'an, but it is also addressed within the Sunna, which gives us the practice of Muhammad. Muhammad actually commented on it himself. In Islam, homosexuality is as sin, just as is taught in the Bible. However, Jesus didn't say I want you to go and find every homosexual and kill them.

I believe that Christians have an incredible opportunity. We are not to support the LGBT movement, but to show what makes us so different from Islam. As Christians, we believe in redemption. We believe in loving the person who is struggling with sin. In Islam, homosexuality is not just a sin, it is a crime. This is part of Islamic law.

I want us to look at some verses that talk about this subject. First I want to look at what the Qur'an says because it addresses the story of Sodom and Gomorrah. Surah 7:80-84 says:

For ye practice your lusts on men, in preference to women, ye are indeed a people transgressing beyond bounds and we rained down on them a shower of brimstone.

Specifically in verse 81 it says:

Ye commit abominations like no people before you.

This is talking about Sodom and Gomorrah. I can see someone saying, isn't that what the Bible says. Yes it is, however, Jesus came and said, I have come to fulfill the law. As Christians we no longer stone adulterers. We no longer stone someone who broke the law. They can be forgiven. This doesn't mean that it is not a sin, it just means that grace and mercy can be applied.

Let's see what the Sunna, the practice of Muhammad, has to say on the subject. It is there that we find the punishment for this sin.

In the Hadith it says:

The messenger of Allah [Muhammad] said, whoever you find doing the action of the people of Lot, execute the one who does it and the one to whom it is done.

In another place it says:

If a man who is not married is found committing sodomy, he will be stoned to death.

In another Hadith we find the following:

The Prophet cursed effeminate men, those men who are in similitude of women, and those women who assume the manners of men.

This means that the Prophet cursed homosexuals and lesbians. He said to turn them out of your houses.

In the Sunna it says:

Muhammad said whoever is found conducting himself in the manner of the people of Lot, kill the doer and the receiver.

There are other passages we could point to.

We can quote out of the Qur'an and the Sunna and Muslim apologists will accuse us of taking the passages out of context. Let me give you what the Sharia Law Book says on the subject. This is from the Reliance of the Traveller (ROT), which has the stamp of approval of al-Azhar University, the most prestigious Islamic university in the world. This university in Cairo, Egypt, is the highest authority in Islam. It is found under the section covering sins that require capital punishment. This shows that we are not taking the passages out of context or misinterpreting them.

ROT – P17.0 (Pg 664) Sodomy and Lesbianism

p17.1 In more than one place in the Holy Koran, Allah recounts to us the story of Lot's people, and how He destroyed them for their wicked practice. There is consensus among both Muslims and the followers of all other religions that sodomy is an enormity. It is even viler and uglier than adultery.

p17.2 Allah Most High says:

“Do you approach the males of humanity, leaving the wives Allah has created for you? But you are a people who transgress” (Koran 26:165-66).

p17.3 The Prophet (Allah bless him and give him peace) said:

(1) “Kill the one who sodomizes and the one who lets it be done to him.”

(2) “May Allah curse him who does what Lot’s people did.”

(3) “Lesbianism by women is adultery between them.”

It is true that there are verses in the Bible that require the death of homosexuals. Let me address this before we get into the dichotomy in Islam on this subject.

Le 20:13 If a man also lie with mankind, as he lieth with a woman, both of them have committed an abomination: they shall surely be put to death; their blood shall be upon them.

Just as it does for Adultery

Le 20:10 And the man that committeth adultery with another man’s wife, even he that committeth adultery with his neighbour’s wife, the adulterer and the adulteress shall surely be put to death.

De 22:22 If a man be found lying with a woman married to an husband, then they shall both of them die, both the man that lay with the woman, and the woman: so shalt thou put away evil from Israel.

The word for all sexual sin is fornication. The laws in the Old Testament were very clear, and very strict on this subject.

Why is it that under Christianity we don’t put homosexuals to death? I believe we find the answer in John Chapter 8:

And the scribes and the Pharisees bring a woman taken in adultery; and having set her in the midst, they say unto him, Teacher, this woman hath been taken in adultery, in the very act. Now in the law Moses commanded us to stone such: what then sayest thou of her? And this they said, trying him, that they might have whereof to accuse him. But Jesus stooped down, and with his finger wrote on the ground. But when they continued asking him, he lifted up himself, and said unto them, He that is without sin among you, let him first cast a stone at her. And again he stooped down, and with his finger wrote on the ground. And they, when they heard it, went out one by one, beginning from the eldest, even unto the last: and Jesus was left alone, and the woman, where she was, in the midst. And Jesus lifted up himself, and said unto her, Woman, where are they? did no man condemn thee? And she said, No man, Lord. And Jesus said, Neither do I condemn thee: go thy way; from henceforth sin no more. (John 8:3-11)

It is interesting that they did not bring the man. They did this to find fault with Jesus. We don't know what Jesus wrote in the dust, but it brought conviction and they left. In this passage Jesus shows mercy to a woman caught in sexual sin. We, as Christians, also give mercy, but just as with Jesus, the mercy is conditional. He told her to "sin on more." A Christian should show mercy, but should not condone the sin.

This story shows us that there is a place for grace. Remember, we are saved by grace, through faith, without works (Ephesians 2:8-9). How can we, as Christians whose sins are forgiven, not show grace to other sinners when our Lord has shown such grace to us?

We could argue that the only death penalty required by the Bible today is the commandment "Thou shalt not kill." We hold that a government can use the death penalty when it is proven that a person has committed murder. For the moral acts which break God's law, and homosexuality is one of them, the death penalty is no longer required. While the death penalty for homosexuality is no longer required by the Bible, it is still required in Islam.

We receive emails saying things like, Muslims would not commit murder. To Muslims, and under Islamic law, this is not murder. Killing homosexuals is justified by the Qur'an. There is a [video](#) of an imam speaking in a Mosque in Florida saying that homosexuality is a sin and the compassionate thing to do is to kill them all. This is why we see ISIS throwing homosexuals off buildings and Iran hanging them.

Under Islamic law there are three groups Muslims can kill without any reservation because they deserve to die. It is not murder to kill people in these groups. First there are the apostates, the second is a homosexual, and the third is an unbeliever.

We have heard Obama say that no religion condones the killing innocents. After 9/11, Muslims were asked if it was right to kill innocent people. Their answer agrees with what Muhammad said. He said that non-Muslims are never innocent. When a Muslim uses the term innocent, he is talking about Muslims, those who are following the commandments of Allah.

When a Muslim kills a nonbeliever, and infidel, he is not killing an innocent person. The one they are killing is guilty. In the case of the shooting in Orlando you have two things. First, it was the killing of infidels, and secondly it was the killing of homosexuals. Since they were twice guilty, it was even more justifiable to kill these people.

Be informed. Purchase our special package on Understanding Islam for the special price of \$15.00 plus shipping and handling. You can order it from our [webstore](#).

A Dichotomy In Islam

Islam condemns homosexuality, but under certain circumstances allows sodomizing young boys. If two consenting adults commit homosexual acts the penalty is always death. The only question is how do you kill them? One cleric says that it must be the most painful death possible. The two most common

ways of killing them is throwing them off a high cliff or stoning. Since which is prescribed is unclear, some do both.

On the other hand. If the act is with an adult man and a young boy it is permitted. The passages we are going to look at in the Qur'an on the subject describes little boys as pearls. A pearl in Arabic poetry is a feminine sexual symbol. The reason sodomizing a little boy is not considered a sin is that, within Islam, the gender of a little boy and a little girl is blurred. The boy is not yet considered a man or a male. Females are never really considered adults, and they are always property. At birth a girl is the property of her father and when she marries she becomes the property of her husband. If her husband dies, she becomes the property of her sons. She is never free. When the Qur'an speaks of pearls, it is suggestive of sexual activity.

Surah 52:24

"...Round about them will serve, (devoted) to them, young male servants (handsome) as Pearls well-guarded..."

Allah promises fresh youths to Muslim men as a reward in Paradise.

Surah 76:19

"...And round about them shall go youths never altering in age; when you see them you will think them to be scattered pearls..."

In the hadith, Bukhari Vol 7 Book 62 #25, Muhammad is quoted as saying:

"...As for whom ever plays with a boy: if there is full penetration, then he shall not marry his mother..."

This is interesting. If you sodomize a boy you are not permitted to marry his mother.

The following is a Fatwa from Ayatollah Khomeini in 1990:

A man can quench his sexual lusts with a child as young as a baby. However, he should not penetrate. Sodomizing the baby is halal (allowed by sharia). If the man penetrates and damages the (female) child, then he should be responsible for her subsistence all her life. This girl, however, does not count as one of his four permanent wives. The man will not be eligible to marry the girl's sister. It is better for a girl to marry when her menstruation starts, and at her husband's house rather than her father's home. Any father marrying his daughter so young will have a permanent place in heaven." –Khomeini, "Tahrirolvashyleh" fourth volume, Darol Elm, Gom, Iran, 1990

Think about what this says! This is his interpretation of the passages in the Qur'an and the Hadith.

A faithful Muslim will imitate the Prophet Muhammad. If Muhammad had sex with children, he will have sex with children.

The Kill List

ISIS had put out a warning that they were going to attack, and that they would target Florida. They put out a kill list. Several media outlets are claiming that they have seen it. They say it breaks down the people in geographic regions, mostly in the United States, Canada, and Europe. It includes the names and addresses of civilians. Some time ago there was a similar hit list on military personnel. Our sources say that the government is working very hard to try and suppress this list.

I believe that every person on this list has a right to know he is on it. It is obvious that law enforcement can't protect each person on the list. We need to know if we are on the list because it is our responsibility to protect our own lives and the lives of our families. We, at Fortress of Faith, ask if our names are on that list. We will be working to get this list being made public, at least to those who are on it.

Gun Control, Again

As usual, after this attack there has been a surge in gun purchases. This leads us to the next subject. Obama and the left are trying to make this about gun control.

Here is a question that is floating around on the internet:

If Omar Mateen does not represent every Muslim, why does he represent every gun owner?

Obama and his minions don't want us to see Islam in the terrorist attacks, but he want us to think that it is those wicked, terrible guns. He wants to go after assault weapons again. It is apparently fiction that an AR-15 was used. Some think that the "AR" stands for assault rifle, but it does not. It stands for Armalite, the company who developed the weapon.

Our sources tell us that the weapon used was not an AR-15, it was a carbine type weapon. One of the police initially said that it was like and AR-15. Of course, the left will use this to try an ban the AR-15.

There are already gun laws on the books. The shooter bought this gun legally at a gun store. They did a background check and nothing came up. If the FBI had kept him on the watch list instead of taking him off, something may have come up.

Let's not forget that he went to the same mosque where the first American suicide bomber went. What are the chances of two jihdis going to the same mosque if it is teaching peaceful coexistence with non-Muslim? If it had been a church the FBI would have been all over it.

Not only did Mateen buy the guns legally, he also had a special fire arms license because he had a special security clearance through Homeland Security. He not only could carry concealed, he could carry unconcealed. The organizations he worked for, G4S, guards 90% of our nuclear facilities.

There were other employees complaining about this guy. They would not look into it farther, and they would not remove him because he was a Muslim. This is another case of political correctness resulting in lost lives again.

We know that this administration is trying to disarm lawful citizens. It is possible that had there been people in the nightclub carrying concealed weapons the death toll would have been less.

Jay Johnson, the head of Homeland Security, has come out stating that the President is really mad. Here is what he said last week to CBS:

We have to face the fact that meaningful gun control has to be part of homeland security.

They couldn't do it through legislation, they couldn't do it through executive orders, so they have to make it a national security issue. They are not deeming the Islamists a threat, but they are deeming those on the right, the conservatives, a threat. They can put you on the not-fly list, they can put you on the terrorist watch list, and since it is a matter of national security they can come and take your gun without due process.

This is where things are headed. We cannot allow this to happen. If we don't stop it, tyranny will continue and we won't have the ability to do anything about it.

It is even more amazing that Mateem was removed from the watch list when we realize that his father ran for president in Pakistan and openly supported the Taliban. How did Mateem pass a security background check? Our sources are telling us that the FBI people on the ground are saying they have their hands tied. They can't investigate these people without approval from higher up.

This has been a little long, but we feel that you must be informed so you can do what is necessary to stop it. Please stand with us at the corner of truth and courage so we can stop what is happening.

If you would like to schedule a meeting with Tom you can call him at 1-800-616-0082.

No church is too big or too small.

